

Giorgi PAPASHVILI
Researcher and Projects Coordinator at George
Chubinashvili National Research Centre for Georgian Art
History and Heritage Preservation
PhD Candidate, Apollon Kutateladze Tbilisi State
Academy of Art, Faculty of Restoration, Art History and
Theory

Third Seminar (June 16 - 23, 2022)

## Photography and the Twofold Origins of Modern Georgian Painting

The present paper constitutes the core of one of the chapters of my doctoral dissertation in progress: *Photography in Georgian Culture Around 1900 – Art and Visual History in the Eyes of Colonialism, Nationalism, and Orientalism* (working title). It focuses on the artists and photographers of modern Georgia: Giorgi (Gigo) Gabashvili (1862-1936), Niko Pirosmanashvili (Pirosmani) (1862-1918), Alexander Roinashvili (1846-1898), and Dmitri Ermakov (1846-1916), as well as on the works of other local and foreign artists, and theoretically, on the formative role of photography in making art. I have to underline that Georgian scholarship has never treated photography as a special instrument for this approach and much of my attempts are based on the experience of comparative studies.

For this presentation I concentrate on two Georgian painters: Gabashvili, an academic artist who also embraced Orientalism and Symbolism, and self-taught Pirosmani, who enjoyed wide popularity and has been an inspiration for a whole generation of modernists. These two figures were considered in their lifetime, and still are, two founders of modern Georgian painting, each for different, even diverging qualities: a wide recourse to the artistic legacy of past times — Pagan, Christian and Islamic — with their later modifications on the one hand, and a sense of Europeanness, which earned them posthumous recognition in modernist circles and beyond, on another.

A major feature of the practices of both Pirosmani and Gabashvili is the intensive use photography as a model/study, as an inspiration or point of departure, and therefore a reference to photography is always in one way or another present in their works. I will try in my paper to synthesize the past knowledge on two Georgian key artists, otherwise usually seen as opposed by their artistic structure, socio-cultural status or even the current scholarship. The background literature includes writings on the artists, photography of the time, as well as other historiographical and comparative materials.